

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.43829 of 2015

Date of Decision:-15.02.2016

Daljit Singh Chawla.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
assisted by SI Rajesh Thakur.

Mr. Vikas, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner Daljit Singh Chawla, in case FIR No.91 dated 28.08.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 148, 149, 323, 308, 506 of Indian Penal Code registered with Police Station Division No.8, Ludhiana City, District Ludhiana.

As per the allegations in the FIR levelled by the complainant injured Gobinder Singh, he was attacked by five youths on the early morning of 27.08.2015. The said persons armed with *rods, hockey sticks* and have inflicted four injuries upon his person. Injury no.1 i.e. fracture on his right leg has been opined to be grievous in nature. The injured complainant pointed out the needle of suspicion towards his estranged son-in-law Shaiwal Gupta.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the

interim protection, the petitioner has joined investigation. She further submits that the present petitioner is the *Samdhi* of the complainant as his daughter is married to the son of the complainant. His name has been included for the reason that there is a matrimonial dispute between his daughter and son of the complainant.

Learned State Counsel assisted by SI Rajesh Thakur submits that on the arrest of Shaiwal Gupta, who is married to the daughter of the complainant, he has disclosed the involvement of the present accused as the one who has engaged the assailants for inflicting injury upon Gobinder Singh. He further states that the investigations have come to a stand still. He further submits that for arranging assailants money was paid by Shaiwal Gupta.

At this stage learned Counsel for the petitioner has tried to argue on other factual aspects.

Keeping in view the disclosure statement of the main accused Shaiwal Gupta and that investigations have come to a stand still, this Court is not inclined to go into any aspect at this stage.

In view of the above, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner-Daljit Singh Chawla is made out.

Dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 15, 2016
Vinay