

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-44707 of 2016
Date of decision : 15.12.2016**

Gargi Shukla & Anr.

... Petitioners

versus

The State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Both the petitioners-in-person with
Mr. Harpreet Singh Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANITA CHAUDHRY , J(ORAL)

Gargi Shukla and Sandeep Kumar, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. They have placed on record marriage certificate and photographs, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively evidencing their marriage. Both the petitioners are present. Petitioner No.1 is specifically stated that she has married with petitioner No.2 on her own will and without any pressure. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 11.12.2016, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners,

Annexure P-5 within a period of one month and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. Its is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

December 15, 2016

M.Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)

JUDGE