

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43841-2014

Date of decision: July 11, 2016.

Sukhbir Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Report made by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala dated 13.2.2015 pursuant to the order made by this Court on 23.12.2014 for verification of the compromise between the parties in respect of FIR No.107 dated 6.8.2009 under Sections 420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) received.

The report shows that the compromise has already taken place between the rival parties and compromise memo also shows the payment of Rs.4.70 lacs.

In my opinion, since the parties have arrived at compromise which has been duly verified by the learned Magistrate,

compounding/compromise should be allowed.

Hence, the petition is allowed and the impugned FIR, along with all consequential proceeding arising therefrom, stands quashed.

July 11, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge