

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 4464 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 08, 2016

Kumari Sareena and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners requests that she wants to file amended memo of parties as well as amended representation.

On her request, the amended memo of parties and amended representation are taken on record.

Petitioners, who both are working as Constables in Punjab Police at Fatehgarh Sahib, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 04.02.2016. Both of them are major. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copies of aadhar cards of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure P-3. It is contended that private respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are the parents of petitioner No.1 might lodge a false complaint with the police and the job of both the petitioners might be at stake.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to consider the application of the petitioners dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and in case any complaint is made against both the petitioners, a preliminary inquiry shall be held into the matter before registering any criminal case. After the preliminary inquiry, the petitioners shall be supplied the copy thereof and given time to challenge the said inquiry before the concerned authority.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 08, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE