

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-43687 of 2015

Date of decision: February 01, 2016.

Neha Vohra and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Narinder Lucky, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tarsem Lal, has produced the statements of the petitioners recorded by the police in which they have stated that they have no threat to their life and liberty.

In these circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents that in case the petitioners approach them and complain of threat to their life and liberty, the police will assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and take necessary corrective steps.

February 01, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge