

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-43670 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 20.05.2016

Vikas Walia

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. RPS Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 22.12.2014, the petitioner has joined the investigation and recoveries have also been effected from him. Earlier the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court but it could not be settled there.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that some of the articles have not been recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that some of the items were given to the relatives of the petitioner as gift at the time of

marriage and the same cannot be considered as part of dowry articles or istrydhan but the petitioner is ready to pay in lieu of those articles.

In view of the above, interim order passed by this Court on 22.12.2014 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to pay an amount of ₹ 25,000/- to the complainant in lieu of articles given to his relatives at the time of marriage.

May 20, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE