113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-43471 of 2015
Date of Decision: January 28, 2016

Mohinder Pal and others .... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others .... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

1.Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The grouse of the petitioners is that despite the payment of composition fee, the respondent did not decide the case of the petitioners. This Court vide order dated 07.09.2015 passed in CRM-M No.30167 of 2015 directed respondent No.2 in said petition to decide the case of the petitioners expeditiously preferably within a period of four weeks. It is stated that despite the said order, nobody is appearing before the lower court to make the statement.

However, in this petition the entirely different prayer is made.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to make appropriate prayer before the lower court and if no order is passed, then make appropriate prayer before the superior court.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 28, 2016
sarita

SARITA RANI
2016.02.01 16:35
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh