

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44391-2016

Date of Decision: 13.12.2016

Jyoti and Another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

GURMIT RAM, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajeev Sharma, APP, U.T. Chandigarh, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has supplied copy of the petition to the learned State Counsel.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner

No.1 has placed on record a photocopy of AADHAR card (Annexure P-1) wherein her year of birth is mentioned as 1994, whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record photocopy of his AADDHAR card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as 1992. They have also moved a representation before respondent No.2 (Annexure P-5) for protection of their lives and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation.

This order has nothing to do with regard to validity or invalidity of the marriage of the petitioners.

13.12.2016
Gaurav Sorot

[GURMIT RAM]
JUDGE

1. Whether reportable? No

2. *Whether speaking / reasoned?* No