

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 44378 of 2016
Date of decision : December 20, 2016

Devraj @ Devraj Jain and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and another,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RA Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.200, dated 8.8.2016, registered under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC, at Police Station Bawani Khera, District Bhiwani.

As per allegations, petitioner No.1 transferred the property of Hari Lal on the basis of power of attorney in favour of petitioner No.2 his wife but this transfer was done after the death of Hari Lal.

Counsel for the petitioners has argued that actually this is a

case of family dispute and the legal representatives of Hari Lal have no objection and the complainant is the daughter of Hari Lal's brother and this old transaction was done with the concurrence of the entire family and the petitioners would settle this dispute.

Learned AAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Radhey Sham, has fairly accepted that the legal representatives of Hari Lal have no objection to this transfer but rightly so it is otherwise an open and shut case where the petitioners are bound to be convicted.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the above facts and the prospect that the case may be settled between the parties, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

December 20, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No