

CRM-M No.43410 of 2015 (O&M)

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.43410 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision : 03.08.2016**

Renu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Adv. for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.129 dated 06.12.2015, registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station G.R.P. Rewari (as mentioned in the bail order dated 15.12.2015, however in the FIR only P.S. Rewari is mentioned), District GRP Ambala Cantt.

The allegations in the complaint were that the husband of

the complainant had committed suicide because his brother – Ramesh Chand Sharma and others were not permitting him to draw water from the tubewell and had rather beaten him up. The petitioner-Renu is the sister-in-law of Ramesh Chand Sharma (who is petitioner in the connected case bearing CRM-M-150-2016).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that in this case the petitioner – Renu and her brother-in-law Ramesh Chand Sharma have joined the investigation and the challan has been presented and the trial is goin on and the petitioner lives in Charkhi Dari and her brother-in-law Ramesh Chand Sharma lives in Ajmer.

Learned counsel for the complainant has stated that the petitioner and her brother-in-law Ramesh Chand Sharma and others are pressureizing the complainant to withdraw the complaint.

Learned Additional Advocate General on instructions from ASI Ranbir Singh has stated that the petitioner-Renu and her brother-in-law Ramesh Chand Sharma are no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. However, it is clarified that in case the petitioner – Renu, her brother-in-law Ramesh Chand Sharma and others try to intimidate the witnesses the protection granted by this Court would liable to be withdrawn.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 03, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No