

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-43273 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:05.01.2016

Sumanpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Brar, AAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking the concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.72 dated 10.09.2015, under Sections 22/25 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Kot Dharmu, District Mansa.

Alleged recovery from the petitioner and other three co-accused was that of 10 vials of Rexcof and 100 tablets of Carisoma.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from Inspector Boota Singh has made a categoric submission that an SIT was duly constituted and a finding has been returned holding the petitioner as also three other co-accused to be innocent. Learned State counsel would further submit that as per report of the SIT, 5th person has been nominated as accused.

Under such circumstances, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail. Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate, Mansa.

It is clarified that grant of benefit of regular bail would not be seen as the stamp of approval of this Court as regards the findings returned by the SIT.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

05.01.2016

harjeet