

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**C.R.M-M No.43204 of 2015
Date of Decision : 18.10.2016**

Ved Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab.

None for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in Cross Version in case FIR No.64 dated 24.06.2015, registered under Sections 324, 323, 34 IPC, at

P.S. Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide Rapat No.25 dated

22.06.2015 registered under Sections 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC & Rapat No.6 dated 04.07.2015 registered under Sections 308, 328, 325 IPC, at P.S. Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

On 21.12.2015 the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is a case of version and cross version. Petitioner is attributed only a lalkara.

Notice of motion for 04.03.2016.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, petitioner be admitted to interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. ”

On the last date the complainant sought an adjournment.

Today none has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI Bagga Singh states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In this view of the matter, I see no reason to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is allowed. Interim order dated 21.12.2015 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 18, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No