

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-43142 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.02.2016

Umesh --Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana --Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. APS Mann, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.201 dated 16.10.2015 under sections 148/149/323/325/285/506/307/341 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal.

It is a matter where this Court would not be required to go into the merits. The petition is opposed on the ground that the petitioner is a habitual offender and a list of 7 other FIRs in which the petitioner is involved had been furnished to this Court on the last date of hearing. The details thereof are as follows:

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>FIR</i>	<i>Offences</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>Complainant</i>
1	72 dated 03.05.2012	Sections 307, 447, 285, 323, 511, 506, 147, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act	Hassanpur, Palwal	Sarman Kumar
2	170 dated 02.11.2013	Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC	Hassanpur, Palwal	Samsudin

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>FIR</i>	<i>Offences</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>Complainant</i>
3	69 dated 23.02.2015	Sections 285, 323, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act	Chand Hut, Palwal	Monu
4	160 dated 27.05.2015	Sections 325, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC	Ballabhgarh Sadar, Faridabad	Vikram
5	176 dated 08.06.2015	Section 25 of the Arms Act	Ballabhgarh Sadar, Faridabad	EHC Raj Kumar
6	247 dated 09.06.2015	Section 309 IPC	Sector-31 Faridabad	SI Ishwar Singh
7	201 dated 16.10.2015	Sections 307, 323, 325, 285, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act	Hassanpur, Palwal	Arun Kumar

List of such cases was even furnished to the counsel for the petitioner on the last date of hearing and who had sought time to complete instructions in the matter.

During the course of resumed hearing today, counsel for the petitioner has not been able to rebut the factual position as regards the involvement of the petitioner in a number of other criminal proceedings including FIR No.170 dated 02.11.2013, under Sections 302/201/345 IPC registered at Police Station Hasanpur, District Palwal.

Under such circumstances, petitioner is not held entitled to the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition dismissed.

09.02.2016
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE