

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CRM-M No.44059 of 2016

Date of decision: 09.12.2016

Pooja @ Iram and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anter Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 01.12.2016 (Annexure P-7) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 01.12.2016 (Annexure P-7) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take

appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 09, 2016
Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No