

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44055 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.12.2016

Anmol Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.109 dated 22.11.2016, registered under Section 379-B of IPC, at Police Station PAU, Ludhiana City.

It is contended that the compromise has been effected between the parties, vide affidavit (Annexure P-2). No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the parties have compromised

the matter, the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without
adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed.
The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his
furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of
CJM/Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an
expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.12.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No