

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-43113 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 19.02.2016

Rishipal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from PSI Sunita submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has been acquitted of the charges by the trial Court vide its judgment dated 9.2.2016.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

February 19, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE