

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-9535 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.08.2016**

Jyoti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. B.S. Giri, Advocate,
for Mr. P.K. Mutneja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

The petitioner is seeking direction to transfer the investigation of case FIR No.98 dated 18.08.2011, under Sections 323, 324, 354, 376, 511 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act from Gurgaon Police to any other agency and further to take action against the accused mentioned in the FIR.

Learned State counsel has placed on record a letter dated 06.08.2014, which clarifies that pursuant to the order dated 13.08.2012 passed by this Court, a direction was given to get the enquiry conducted by two IPS Officers. Upon this, the Director General of Police, Haryana, had appointed Sh. Alok Rai, IG, State Crime Branch, Panchkula and Sh. Paramjit Singh Ahlawat, DIG, Crime Branch, Gurgaon to conduct the investigation. Thereafter, they were transferred on 23.10.2012. On

Bharti Arora, , SP (Traffic), Gurgaon were appointed to complete the investigation. After investigation, offences under Sections 324/354/376/511 IPC and under Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act were not found to have been committed. Thereafter, challan under Sections 323/506 IPC was prepared against accused Joginder and Ankit and presented in the Court.

A perusal of this letter shows that apprehension of the petitioner that pursuant to the orders passed by this Court, the investigation had not been carried out by two IPS Officers, was ill founded. Since the challan has already been presented and the trial is at the stage of recording of prosecution evidence, no further orders are required to be passed in this petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

11.08.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No