

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-42832 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:22.01.2016**

Pardeep Saini

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anmol Malimk, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. MPS Mann, Advocate for the complainant.
...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that investigation in the case having been completed, challan was presented on 12.01.2016. It is further contended that the present petitioner, namely, Pardeep Saini had joined the trial proceedings.

Learned State counsel has produced a certified copy of an order passed by the learned JMIC, Jhajjar dated 12.01.2016 which reads in the following terms:

“Challan presented today. It be checked and registered. An application for bail and for furnishing bail bonds moved on behalf of accused. Reply filed by the state. Arguments on bail application heard. As no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars and trial of the case would take long time, therefore, accused Pardeep is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Requisite bail bonds furnished, accepted and attested. Accused be released forthwith.

Copies of challan supplied to the accused free of cost under Section 207 Cr.P.C. Now to come up on 25.01.2016 for arguments on charge.”

In the light of such development, the present petition seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.22 dated 24.10.2015 under Section 377 IPC, registered at Police Station Women Jhajjar, District Jhajjar is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

22.01.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**