

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-42793 of 2015

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Surinder Kumar and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab & ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, A.A.G. Punjab

None for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 60 dated 07.06.2015 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana, is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 4 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 01.03.2014. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strain and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioners.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 29.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of order dated 18.12.2015, report of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, has been received in this regard. As per

report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. A divorce petition under Section 13-B of the HMA has been filed. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by the petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910*, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 60 dated 07.06.2015 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

22.09.2016
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *No*