

**CRM-M-43659 of 2016**

**[1]**

\*\*\*\*\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 112

**CRM No.M-43659 of 2016**

**Date of decision: December 06, 2016**

Simranpreet Kaur and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

**Present:** Petitioners in person along with  
Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and their relatives, by providing them security.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

**CRM-M-43659 of 2016**

**[2]**

\*\*\*\*\*

4. As per the version unfolded by the petitioners, they have solemnized marriage on December 02, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record photographs of marriage Annexure P-3 and marriage certificate Annexure P-4.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of, with the direction to respondent No.2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana (Punjab) to look into the grievances narrated in their representation dated December 02, 2016 (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. While parting with this order, this Court cannot lost sight of the fact that petitioner No.2 – Manpreet Mainka who is less than 21 years and he has solemnized marriage with petitioner No.1-Simranpreet Kaur, which is in violation of the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana is directed to register a case and to investigate the same, and if a case is made out, to proceed with the same in accordance with law against petitioner No.2. However, it is made clear that parents of petitioners are not a party to the marriage, rather marriage is against their wish and consent. So, they shall not be involved in this case in any manner.

**CRM-M-43659 of 2016**

**[3]**

\*\*\*\*\*

7. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

**December 06, 2016**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether Speaking/Reasoned**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No**