

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42696-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.07.2016

Parshotam Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ACP Saurav Jindal and ASI Paramjit Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.149 dated 24.04.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Jodhewal, Distt. Ludhiana City.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He is neither the purchaser nor the seller of the property in question. There is a delay of more than three years in lodging the FIR. He is in custody since 22.06.2015.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the challan stands presented, charges framed and out of seven prosecution witnesses, one has already been examined.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 22.06.2015. Only one out of

ANIL SETHI
26/07/2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

seven prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take considerable time.

Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

27.07.2016

atulsethi

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No