

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43608-2016

Date of decision: 6.12.2016

Gajala and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.Harish Nain, Advocate for the petitioners

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

The petitioners claim to have married on 15.3.2016.

Petitioner No.1 -Gajala is aged more than 19 years, her date of birth being 5.3.1998, but petitioner No.2 Aman has not so far attained the age of marriage, his date of birth being 30.10.1998, as per the educational certificates annexed with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2. It is submitted that the marriage of the petitioners is being opposed by respondents No. 4 to 6, who are family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Karan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Petitioner No.2 Aman being still 18 years old has not

attained the age of marriage. However, without expressing any opinion

with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad that in case the petitioners move an application before him seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

6.12.2016
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No