

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M- 916 of 2012
Date of Decision:-26.02.2016**

Vishrut Wadhwa

..Petitioner

Versus

Anju Aggarwal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Hitesh Sood, Advocate for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the very complaint filed against the petitioner has already been dismissed for want of prosecution on 04.01.2014, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

26.02.2016
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**