

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 42585 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.09.2016

Gulbir Singh Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. APS Deol, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.117, dated 22.06.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Patiala, District Patiala.

The learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that there is no material against the petitioner. The agreement to sell dated 04.04.2001 and 10.04.2001 are admitted by complainant in the civil suit and thereafter, the civil suit was withdrawn. The petitioner being a practicing advocate has rendered professional service to the client

and he is not beneficiary in any manner. In pursuance of the order dated 17.12.2015, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel as well as the learned counsel for the complainant submit that the petitioner(s) scribed one agreement and in another agreement, he is one of the beneficiary.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The allegations against the petitioners is that he is a scribe of one of the agreement dated 10.04.2001 and in another agreement dated 04.04.2001, he is a proposed vendee. There are specific allegations against the petitioner that on the basis of said agreements, the land of Yashodha Devi was misappropriated by the petitioner in connivance with other co-accused. Keeping in view the accusation of fraud and impersonation against the petitioner(s), this Court feels that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to unearth the actual picture.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No