

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-42567 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 25, 2016

Virender Partap Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukesh K.Jindal, the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab, for the respondent(s)-State.

Mr. Satbir Rathor, Advocate for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Mr. Sukesh K.Jindal, Advocate has appeared and filed fresh vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2014 and accordingly prays for a direction to the learned trial Court to expedite the trial.

In view of above, learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial by giving short adjournments or by adjourning the case on day to day basis, if requires.

July 25, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**