

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43473-2016

Date of decision: December 05, 2016

Tamana and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners Tamana and Mustkeem Ahmed have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondent Nos.4 to 6, who are father, mother and brother of petitioner No.1. The petitioners, who are present in Court today, further contend that they being major have solemnized marriage with their own consent in accordance with law. The private respondents are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and are extending threats to them. Copy of identity card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), copy of *adhar* card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2) and copy of *Nikahnama* (Annexure P-3) and photographs of marriage of the petitioner (Annexure P-4) have been placed on record.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the petitioners to contact

respondent Nos.2 and 3, who, after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from private respondent Nos.4 to 6, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

December 05, 2016

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No