

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CRM No. M-43456 of 2016

DECIDED ON: December 05, 2016

AARTI AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though representation dated November 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3) was moved, but till date no substantive action has been taken by the concerned authorities.

At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, (Punjab) to decide their representation dated November 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3) within a stipulated period.

The instant petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.4- Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (Punjab) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in their representation dated November 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3) and if some substance is found, to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 05, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No