

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-42492 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 06, 2016

Ruby and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person along with their counsel
Mr. Amandeep Singh.

Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Respondent No.4 is present in person along with
her counsel Mr. Mufaid Khan.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Today, both the petitioners as well as respondent No.4 are present. Petitioner No.1 was permitted to talk with respondent No.4 and case was adjourned for some time. After some time, the case was again taken up.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has stated that she has no objection, if protection is granted to the petitioners. As per the petition, both the petitioners are major and stated to have married against the wishes of their parents. Now, they apprehend threat from respondent No.4.

Respondent No.4 denied to have given any threat to the petitioners. However, she has no objection, if the protection is granted to the petitioners.

In view of the matter, the present petition is allowed. The Deputy Commissioner, Ambala, is directed to assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be a proof of valid marriage.

The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

January 06, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE