

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.4336 of 2016

Date of decision: September 23, 2016

Manpreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Though the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner has sought direction to respondents No.1 to 3 not to harass them and not to interfere in their matrimonial life at the instance of the private respondents and further for giving direction to the respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.

In pursuance to the notice of motion issued by this Court, the official respondents have filed reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Satya Pal, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dabwali. The preliminary submission No.1 of the reply-cum-affidavit is relevant for the disposal of the instant petition which reads ut-infra:-

“That brief facts are that petitioner No.1 Manpreet Kaur is the daughter of private respondent No.4 Gurdev Singh. It is the case of the petitioners that they have performed love marriage with each other on 03.02.2016 at Shri Brahmari Devi Mandir, Mansa Devi Market, Sector-4, Panchkula against the

wishes of private respondent No.4 and other family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 Manpreet Kaur. Apprehending danger at the hands of private respondent No.4 to their lives and liberty, the petitioners had filed the present petition seeking the relief of issuance of directions restraining the answering defendants not to harass the petitioners and not to interfere in their matrimonial life at the instance of private respondent No.4 and to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent No.4. In this regard it is submitted that neither any FIR has been lodged nor any complaint has been moved by the private respondent No.4 or any other family member/relative of petitioner No.1 Manpreet Kaur to the local police. After receipt of notice of the present petition, an enquiry into the matter was conducted by the local police and statements of both the petitioners and private respondent No.4 have been recorded. At present petitioner No.1 has been residing at the house of her father/private respondent No.4 at village Baliyaya, District Fatehabad for the purpose of undertaking her studies of LL.B. 1st year. The private respondent No.4 and his other family members/relatives have given their consent to the marriage of the petitioners. Now the petitioner No.1 is on visiting terms with her parental family. Petitioner No.2 has also consented for the continuation of studies of petitioner No.1 at Fatehabad. Now the petitioners have no danger to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondent No.4 or any other family member/relative of petitioner No.1. When asked by the local police, the petitioners have told that now they are not in need of police protection. Under these circumstances the present petition has become infructuous and is liable to be dismissed.”

In view of the above referred facts and circumstances, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. Accordingly, it stands disposed of.

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No