

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-4332 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.2.2016

Ranju Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The claim of the petitioner is that she has filed a representation to the Station House Officer, Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Ropar-respondent No. 3 on 22.1.2016 (Annexure-P-1).

The perusal of the petition would show that just few days thereafter on 3.2.2016, the present petition has been filed. It comes out that the petition is pre-mature. The officials responsible are to be given breathing time to take action on the representation dated 22.1.2016 (Annexure-P-1), submitted by the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as pre-mature.

However, it shall always be open to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, if no action is taken after reasonable time.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.2.2016
sjks