

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -42378 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Sukhdeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Rashpal.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.124/2015 dated 11.06.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 ad 120-B IPC, at Police Station City, Jagraon, District Ludhiana (R).

On 21.01.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.124 dated 11.06.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code registered with Police Station City, Jagraon.

As per the allegations, the petitioner Sukhdeep Kaur at the

time of submitting application form for grant of licence for a gun has annexed a copy of the sale deed towards proof of residence by forging name of her husband in place of mother-in-law.

Learned State Counsel assisted by HC Jagir Singh submits that petitioner is yet to join investigation.

List on 17.03.2016.

In the meanwhile petitioner shall join investigation as and when called by the Police.

In the event of her arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer. However, she shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.PC. ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 21.01.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.01.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No