

262 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-42430 of 2014
DECIDED ON: March 01, 2016**

PARTAP SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Instant petition has been preferred by Partap Singh under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to register the FIR and to investigate the matter through some independent agency like Crime Branch or by constituting a SIT in respect of the death of Pardeep Singh.

2. Petitioner has been claiming that his brother Pardeep had been murdered by some persons having support of politically influential persons and further that as per material available the fracture of the hyoid bone is not

possible in case of suicide. Vide order dated January 23, 2015 passed by this Court, DGP, Punjab was directed to constitute a Special Investigation Team consisting of one senior police officer of the gazetted rank of SP from Crime Branch alongwith another officer not below the rank of DSP to be deputed by DGP and a senior officer deputed by the choice of SSP, Barnala with further direction to look into the grievances of the petitioner and consider the evidence and material supplied by him. Accordingly, in compliance of order dated January 23, 2015 SIT was formed. The SIT consisting of Hitender Singh Ghai, PPS, SP, Crime, Patiala Zone, Patiala, Ashok Kumar, PPS, DSP, Crime Zone, Patiala and a DSP rank officer to be nominated by SSP, Barnala was formed who investigated the matter deeply that too, by associating the petitioner and all other concerned persons. The SIT came to the conclusion that Pardeep Singh had committed suicide and further that there is no evidence which shows the commission of murder of Pardeep Singh.

3. In view of report submitted by SIT, this court does not find any merit in the instant petition. As such the same is dismissed.

4. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under the law.

MARCH 01, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE