

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-42298 of 2015

Date of decision : 30.05.2016

Anju Paul

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 4 to 6.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is seeking directions to the trial Court to decide the case within a stipulated period or that the Court should vacate the stay granted by it.

The petitioner has placed the zimnis on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the zimni orders would show that it is the wife, who is seeking adjournments.

A complaint under the Domestic Violence Act was filed by the petitioner, wherein maintenance has been awarded alongwith a sum of Rs. 1000/- p.m. in lieu of alternate accommodation. Both husband and wife were aggrieved by the order and filed appeals. Before the Appellate Court, an offer was made by the husband that he was ready to provide accommodation to his wife. The wife had stated that she wanted to see the accommodation short listed. Time was fixed by the Court and the parties were to visit the property and report was awaited for 08.09.2015. In the meantime, the proceedings in the execution petition was stayed.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the Court was not adjudicating the matter nor

any compensation has been paid nor any property has been selected.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that it is the petitioner side, who is seeking adjournments and refers to the zimni orders.

This is a petition for giving directions. In the given circumstances, no directions are required to be passed. If the petitioner has any grievance, she can approach the concerned Court for disposal of their grievances and to get the stay vacated.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

30.05.2016

reena