

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-42269 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 21.01.2016

Jagdish

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 936 dated 02.07.2015 registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat under Sections 323, 506, 376(2)(f)(n) IPC.

The petitioner is the father of the complainant. The married daughter levelled allegations of rape against father. There is no MLR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that wife of the complainant is living in the same house and the daughters are demanding share in the immovable property and have concocted the story implicating the brother and father and there is no date and time of the sexual assault. He further states that daughters are living in their matrimonial home.

Learned State counsel affirms that no MLR was conducted.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the investigation is over and trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

January 21, 2016

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

reena