

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M-42138 of 2015

Date of Decision:-12.07.2016.

Karamjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Tejvir Singh Manes, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since there are some errors in the instant petition including the memo of parties, he may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

However, so far as the report received from the trial Court with regard to compromise, the petitioner would be at liberty to tag the photocopy of the same with fresh petition.

July 12, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE