

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43051-2016
Date of decision-02.12.2016**

Gurpal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.147, dated 04.11.2016 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Kurali, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further states that the petitioner is only a witness to the agreement to sell and has not been involved in any other payment process as total amount of Rs.11 lakh was paid through cheques by Vikas Chauhan.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

The allegations against the petitioner is that a forged and fabricated power of attorney was prepared by the petitioner with the help of co-accused on behalf of complainant by way of producing some

impersonated lady. Petitioner was a witness of agreement to sell and power of attorney as well.

Keeping in view the alleged participation, this Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to know the modus operandi. No case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, bail application stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

December 02, 2016

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No