

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-41969 of 2015

Date of decision: February 15, 2016.

Jeet Singh and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. S.S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

On behalf of the State, it is stated that the statements of the private respondents have been recorded wherein they have stated that they have not extended any threat to the petitioners. Learned Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners apprehend threat to their life and liberty.

In these circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Panchkula and the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan) to assess threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if any threat is found, provide necessary protection to them.

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge

February 15, 2016.
kadyan