

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-41949 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.10.2016.

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. GPS Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, AAG Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.44 dated 20.05.2014 registered under Sections 353, 186, 354, 341, 332, 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Cantt. Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- Manpreet Kaur on the allegations that after her marriage, the accused- petitioner started saying her to leave the job and wanted to keep her ATM and also manhandled with her. Now with the intervention of respectable

persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Bathinda, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondents No.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for complainant-respondents No.2 and 3 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromised, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Cr.) 543**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.44 dated 20.05.2014 registered under Sections 353, 186, 354, 341, 332, 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Cantt. Bathinda, District Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

18.10.2016
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No