

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -41948 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.09.2016

Bani Yadav and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Anil Kumar Gupta and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in a complaint case No. 239 of 2014 dated 04.11.2014, registered under Sections 323, 452, 506, 120-B read with Section 34 IPC, titled as 'Anil Kumar Gupta Vs. Bani Yadav and others' pending in the Court of JMIC, Gurgaon.

On 11.12.2015, this Court had passed the following order:-

“It is inter alia argued that at the behest of the petitioners two criminal cases against the present complainant has been filed who subsequently managed to

file false criminal case in which the petitioners have been summoned and that nothing is to be recovered from them.

Notice of motion for 25.2.2016.

In the event of petitioners putting in appearance before the trial Court within a week from the date of this order, they be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of trial Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 11.12.2015, the petitioners have appeared and furnished bail/surety bonds before the trial Court.

The learned State counsel does not controvert the above said fact.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.12.2015, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

14.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No