

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.41921 of 2015

Date of decision: 14th March, 2016

Mohan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 30.01.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Jalandhar has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Jagdish Chander @ Jagdish Chand and the accused persons namely Mohan Lal, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Ex.C1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that the complainant and the accused are real brothers, and the

offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.114 dated 02.10.2011 registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar under Sections 323/324 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 14, 2016
rps