

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41905 of 2015

Date of decision: September 21, 2016

Jaswinder Singh @ Kaku & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimpaljit Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Dhivya, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.(ORAL).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.158 dated 08.10.2015 registered under Sections 307/323/324/34 IPC and Under Section 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station "C" Division, District Amritsar City, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Now, there is a compromise deed (Annexure P-2) on record filed by the rival parties.

Ms. Dhivya, Advocate for respondent No. 2-complainant submits that indeed the compromise has taken place which is duly signed by complainant as well as the accused persons. I have perused the terms of the compromise deed and I am satisfied that the parties should be allowed to compound/compromise and the offences should be compounded with the permission of the Court. However, the criminal law set in a motion and petitioners No. 1 to 4 shall deposit a sum of ₹ 5,000/- each (total ₹ 20,000/-) to the District Collector, Amritsar within a period of six months from today consequently the FIR is quashed, if, the said cost is not

paid to the District Collector, Amritsar this Order shall recall automatically.

In that view of the matter, I make the following order:-

1. FIR No.158 dated 08.10.2015, registered under Sections 307/323/324/34 IPC and under Section 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station "C" Division, District Amritsar City is being quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

September 21, 2016
Poonam (II)

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No.