

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42808-2016

Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Mamta Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioners

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

As per the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, although petitioner No.1 -Mamta Rani is aged more than 21 years, her date of birth being 30.6.1995, but petitioner No.2 Lovepreet Singh has not so far attained the age of marriage, his date of birth being 31.10.1996, as mentioned in matriculation examination certificate (Annexure P-2). It is submitted that the petitioners had given an representation (Annexure P-5) dated 27.11.2016 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ankur Jain, Assistant Advocate

General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Senior Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr to look into the representation Annexure P-5 given by the petitioner forthwith.

30.11.2016
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No