

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-41867 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 10.05.2016

Tej Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Ms. Aarti, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. C.L. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since respondent No.2 has completed her sentence, she may be permitted to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

May 10, 2016
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**