

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-42770 of 2016
Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Preeti Rohilla and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present:- Mrs. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate has filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.4 which is taken on record.

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. The requisite documents of their age proof are appended with this petition as Annexures P-1 (Educational certificate) and P-2 (Affidavit). They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondents No.4 to 8, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police,

Panipat that in case the petitioners move an application before him seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

30.11.2016
Dinesh Bansal

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No