

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42758-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.12.2016

Lovepreet Singh @ Dimpi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate,
for Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by ASI Sukhwinder Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.55 dated 27.05.2016, registered under Sections 379A and 379B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Sadar Kotkapura.

Contentends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He is in custody since 14.09.2016. The investigation is complete and the challan stands already presented. Earlier also, the petitioner was implicated in four more FIRs, wherein, he has earned acquittal.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. It is contended that the petitioner along with his co-accused snatched Rupees thirty six thousand and wallet containing other documents.

An amount of Rupees four thousand has been recovered from him.

Heard.

The petitioner is not named in the FIR. He is in custody since 14.09.2016. The challan stands already presented but the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, the conclusion of the trial may take a considerable time. The petitioner stands acquitted in four FIRs earlier recorded against him.

Keeping in view the above and without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.12.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No