

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-41887 of 2014  
Date of Decision:-18.01.2016**

Pawan Trehan

...Petitioner

Versus

Lakhwinder Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the petitioner has been discharged in the case, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

January 18, 2016  
*Vijay Asija*

**( HARI PAL VERMA )  
JUDGE**