

CRM-M-4266 of 2016
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 05, 2016

MADHU REKHA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed seeking direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner who is being harassed by respondent No.3 in connivance with respondents No.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation dated January 06, 2016 (Anneuxre P-3) has been moved by the petitioner to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken so far, as respondents No.4 and 5 are influential persons.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances of the petitioner unfolded by her in her representation dated January 06, 2016 (Annexure P-3) and in case some substance is found therein, to take action in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

FEBRUARY 05, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE