

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-41708 of 2015

Date of decision: February 19, 2016.

Dinesh Chander Joshi

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Chandan Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.126 dated 12.3.2014, registered under Sections 279, 337, 427 IPC, at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula received. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate has recorded the statements of both the parties and has reported that the complainant as well as the accused have effected compromise which is genuine and without any pressure and coercion. Attested copies of statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

Since the parties have compromised, therefore, FIR No.126 dated 12.3.2014, registered under Sections 279, 337, 427 IPC, at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 19, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge