

**231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. CRM No.M-41686 of 2015
Date of Decision: February 17, 2016**

Mangal Singh and others Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

**2. CRM No.M-41725 of 2015
Date of Decision: February 17, 2016**

Kulwinder Singh @ Kinda and others Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners in CRM-M No.41686 of 2015
and for the respondent Nos.2 to 4
in CRM-M No.41725 of 2015.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M No.41725 of 2015 and
for respondent Nos.2 and 3 in CRM-M No.41686 of 2015.

Mr. A.S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

This order will dispose of two criminal petitions bearing CRM Nos.M-41686 and 41725 of 2015, being the version and cross version.

Both the petitions have been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short 'Cr.P.C.') for quashing of FIR No.165 dated 09.08.2013, under Sections 323, 325, 452, 308,

148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC')

registered at Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar Rural, and cross version Rapat No.12, dated 13.04.2014, under Sections 326, 452, 427, 149, 323, 334 and 148 IPC along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned State counsel does not want to file any reply.

Report of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar received that he has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused in both the cross cases and has reported that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any threat or inducement and they have no objection, if the FIR and Rapat in question are quashed.

In view of the report of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar, FIR in question as well as Rapat in question along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom stand quashed.

Both the petitions are allowed, accordingly.

February 17, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE