

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

GAURAV ARORA
2016.12.09 14:13
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-42589 of 2016
Date of decision : 01.12.2016**

Santosh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and anr.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The precise grievance of petitioner is that that this Court vide order dated 09.03.2015 disposed of the petition i.e CRM-M-7427 of 2015 with a direction to the respondents to look in to the grievances of the petitioner made by her through complaint within three months. Thereafter, F.I.R No. 0126 dated 17.05.2015 under Sections 354(B)/120-B/34 and Section 8 of POCSO Act was registered against only one accused Vishnu and deliberately not registered case against the accused persons involved whose names are mentioned in the complaint (P-2). Despite this, the police in connivance with the accused persons are threatening the petitioner to enter into compromise. An application was also moved in this regard (P-3). Respondents also submitted progress report dated 23.03.2016 and have cancelled the F.I.R against the accused persons but are not submitting the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 that if progress report (P-4) is approved by the senior police officer, respondent No. 2 shall present the final report before the Illaqa Magistrate, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks.

01.12.2016
G Arora

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**